

# A Pragmatic Analysis of Implicit and Explicit Metaphor in Political Speeches

ILHAM AHMED ABDULABBAS

University of Baghdad/ College of Physical Education and Sports Science

Received: 08th June 2020

Revised: 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2020

Accepted: 15<sup>th</sup> August 2020

---

**Abstract:** The study is an attempt to achieve pragmatically a framework for an implicit and explicit metaphor in political speeches. The study takes its data from Bush's speeches to his people in year 2001. In brief, this study could be interested in the conviction approach given to start the preventive war in Iraq. Through this study, Implicit and Explicit metaphor as reference to emotion elements participating recognize both the philosophical root and the convincing technique of a given talk over the long haul. the consequences of study show the possibilities of analogy as a special intellectual device for abstracting and building speech techniques.

**Keywords:** metaphor, the model of study, Bush's speech

---

## Introduction

This research can be essential for a more extensive exploration project managing American official talk via the repercussions of 11 September that thinks explicitly upon an influential methodology George W. Shrub had ordered to advance the 'preventive conflict' in Iraq. The point can be introduced the structure for an allegory depended on basic investigation of influence in formal talk, in specific reference to 'security talk' as posted by Buzan et al., (1998). The decision subject of perception is the president George W. Hedge's discourses to his country, that might be viewed as an authority sound of which it may call the war of language. The primary hypothetical methodology can be the applied illustration as an essential factious component and pivotal device for tending to influence in context.

Last decades on an outlining of logical matters and an introduction of hypothetical system and approach, this study would dig into the investigation, introducing which arises like a crucial philosophical premise and the principle influence methodology of the supportive of preventive conflict line of contention. Some important models will show how the pugnacious technique can be developed desultorily.

The finishes of this article, as well as summarizing the evidence accumulated through the examination, highlight more extensive exploration viewpoints and may recommend a significant worry regarding language mindfulness. We start our investigation by outlining the issue, relevantly and hypothetically, asserting that this is a fundamental advance inside a talk way to deal with influence, prior to starting the printed examination. Notwithstanding, the study has no affectation to attempt a talk recorded viewpoint as recommended via the scholars Fairclough and Wodak (1997), or a steady contextual examination consonant with Van Dijk's sociocognitive way (2006b) to deal with speech.

Series of occasions after 11 September has supported an environment of dread and summed up confusion around the world. The effect of instability being seen through various discordant tunes which vivify recent American and European discussion. These tunes can be clear through media talk, and compare to an opposite reaction of political attitudes. The scholar Kagan in (2003) sees Huntington's prescience to the 'conflict of civic establishments' like materializing, via an anthropological perspective, this helps the necessities of American matchless quality. Also the scholar Fallaci (2001) criticizes the ordinarily neo-moderate reason whose point be to keep down the Islamic threat, denouncing Al Qaeda and Bin Laden as being answerable for 11 September. Through this respect, Fallaci confirms approved to show a without any problem being argument revanchist anger, taking a functioning section in which can be introduced as a 'second Cold War', portrayed via 'strict patriotisms' as stated by Introvigne (2004).

On the other hand, there are the individuals who expect a place of basic separation and are distracted at the fanatic float among scholarly people. Likewise, Lindenberg (2002) states that 'winter wind', that appears to get influenced social living in order to give him discuss 'new-traditionalists'. Whereas Butcherly (2001) can be confused and cynical by which he observes like the hazard of popularity based rot addressed via which reports itself as an 'finish of freedom'.

Albeit, disarranged this recent notion of thinking, appears for sure being by and large described by a methodical inversion of reformist thought ideal models. Similarly, Žižek (2002: 13) puzzles over if 'the breaking action of 11 September eventually functioned like methods for empowering the prevailing philosophy to "return to rudiments" .

Presently, an urgent illustration of 'brave governmental issues, intoxicated with occasions, profound choices and sensational principles' is George W. Hedge's America. All the much explicitly, a restricting rationale with that post 11 September had managed reviews that philosophical banality average of Cold War talk. A favorable to office demeanor and way of talking had accepted tones suggestive of extremist purposeful publicity: [ . . . ] a mentality of the 'European ' media after 11 September shows: [ . . . ] governmental issues, at the point when taken in its embodiment, diminishes itself to a contraposition among 'Companion' and 'Adversary'. Scarcely any occasions we have caught wind of outright threats that the only utilization of power may manage, about 'Great' powers in a human battle opposite to 'Evil', in reference to the civilization threaten by barbarisms since had occurred after occasions of Washington also, New York [...]. A majority rule government of the United States, supported via its partners, picked to speak an ordinary dialect of authoritarian systems: course purposeful publicity, self-adulation and recognizable proof of a perpetually explicit and less a less target foe, mobilization of people groups, the malediction of against patriotic persons of questions, actuation to duplicating the powers over especial living. [ . . . ] depending on a soul of 'conflict of developments', [ . . . ] a show of European prevalence had resonated at full power.

The process of 'incoherencies [ . . . ] in the recreation of authentic proof after 11 September', a 'solid and persuading' variant regarding that had not been offered yet, could ask into question the acknowledgment via popular assessment. However, that appears to depend rather on the way that 'feelings, for example, 'Outrage' and 'Pride' - words which have been made 'practically colloquial' as stated via Fallaci (2001) - won, in addition to 'Dread'. The essential utilization of the understanding of the occasions to legitimize and advance political activities, which under different conditions would have scarcely been economical, accepts qualities sketchy without a doubt, as Tarchi (2002) characterizes it as a 'deception of the causes and a control of the impacts' .

Circumspect examination of cases is swapped via the frenzied quest for a substitute at that to rapidly fling fault. Individuals whose qualities adjust well to the exemplary 'defamation of foes' portrayal are

focused on. Firstly, it is to assume a part of prime adversary, before Saddam Hussein's profit from the action, can be Osama receptacle Laden who, was along with Taliban, being put in 'display of the against western repulsions', making the 'brute sobriquet' present its face once more. Avital nature of that joined expository exertion isn't difficult to unwind. Both in the 'contentions implied for impact' concerning the Taliban's obligation, also, in more perplexing speculations, it is pointed toward demonstrating 'worldwide psychological warfare like a sign of the instability of a region of political venture that had brains and appendages in "Maverick Nations". Yet, an obviously the points of plot-like portrayal being illustrated, gives us a more grounded the questions and uneasiness which arise via connection to worldwide illegal intimidation, that looked genuine or simply a propagandistic item to support a specific plan.

In an event that the essential motivations behind a specific sort of way of talking are apparent, the persuasive plan as for the political activity turns out to be clear, considering the ensuing political choices (for example the conflict in Afghanistan, the conflict in Iraq).

What is being sanctioned is an unpretentious yet successful round of protection against conceivable contradiction regarding plans preventively embedded in administrative plan. It isn't our expectation to decipher thoroughly the occasions of 1 September, or to remark on the government or obstruction theory as stated by Moor (2004). The attention can be fairly on the issue of types of influence which logically added to governmental achievement. Similarly, a riskiness of the outcomes of the resulting American global approach (for example preventive conflict) represents the reason for the desperation of a genuine investigation of talk on that it had been established and advocated.

### **Bush's Political Speech**

A reasoning of political talk is inherently established in an assent of its crowd, even much so inside a political framework which characterizes and outlines itself as vote based. It is noted that, this can be difficult to consider a sort of political talk as it is liberated from any type of philosophical burden. In any case, the political talk of America today appears to go past that look for assent; it tosses into question the actual morals of a manageable manner of speaking.

From one viewpoint, the pivotal verifiable second addressed by post 9/11, which considered the To be States as hero just as head specialist and administrator on the global show, can be liable for the solidifying of American political way of talking as one of the unmistakable highlights of a 'securitization' programme. As Buzan et al. show: the distinctive component of securitization is a particular explanatory construction (survival, need of activity 'since, in such a case that the issue isn't dealt with now it will be past the point of no return, furthermore, we won't exist to cure the disappointment') [ . . . ] In the speech, a matter can be performed and introduced like a matter of preeminent need; along these lines, by marking it as security, a specialist guarantees a requirement for and an option to manage the speech via remarkable methods.

To Waever (1988) securitization is fundamentally a cover passionate communication. The sensations of peril, shortcoming, and (in)security are socially evolved rather than impartially present or missing' (1998:11). Accordingly, as shown by Buzan et al., the examination of 'securitization' recommends 'not to assess some objective risks that "really" jeopardize some thing to be monitored or gotten', however rather 'to appreciate the patterns of building a typical point of view of what is to be considered and aggregately responded to as a peril' (1998:26). We would fight that the commitment credited to the informative erratic estimation in the praxis similarly as in the examination of 'securitization' made by political specialists, may much more favor the consistency of an essential phonetic assessment of George W. Support's discussion, which is clearly classifiable as an unquestionable example of 'security talk'. However, at that point, the surprising development in arrangement that Bush catalyzed for himself and

the consequences of his overall methodology bring his *modus persuadendi* up for discussion and raise question about the philosophical premises whereupon this political talk is based and advocated.

US defend procedure in 11September reacted to an authoritative and media informative views requiring a re-appearance of the building up assessments of American public character. This can be reacted in the building up of Manichean soul that can be itself perceived like socially illustrative of American. Likewise, the case for overall centrality could address a radical positions of that general illustration of American nation, that can be significantly settled in a 'model' put forth in mid-1 seventh. This unique drives America these days to disregard seeing its enthusiasm. the sensation of 'amazing quality' means a confirmation of its character concerning Europe additionally, the rest of the world . As demonstrated by TizianoBonazzi: US public talk has unraveled the inclusive idea of the American country in complete terms, as faultlessness, and the severe talk reasoning has thus drove it to present a subject of deficiency, of consistent fight between two altogether rules, an 'Incomparable' hoping for recognizing itself and 'Guile' lying for it. An American public, can perhaps marvelous, were moreover consistently in peril and need to protect themselves. The fossil science of which had been as of late said can also be found in Massachusetts [ . . . ].

However, in respect of the Afghanistan and Iraqi clashes, clearly crediting an ontological worth to orders, for instance, 'incredible' and 'awful' and acting agreeing isn't simply reasonably and coherently prohibited, anyway may in like manner brief deadly outcomes.

### **Theoretical perspective**

Based on the requirement for investigation, the fundamental interest can be to zero in on a problem of influence presented through the logical and pugnacious examinations via digressive down to earth point of view focused upon psychological illustration. From the methodological perspective our goal is to consolidate Lakoffian hypothesis with the commitment of expository a contentious investigations in a literary examination way to deal with be found at the inter-segment among three unique spaces. First can be an investigation of speech perceived like friendly exercise, via specific link to basic ways to deal with content. The subsequent the expository contentious investigations method, with an inclination for passionate instead of reasonable methodologies. The second can be that of semantic-psychological investigations, as the commitment of the Lakoffian approach can be arranged. These two viewpoints come together in a methodology that search for the establishing construction of illustration in a content.

A without a doubt essential matter concerning an investigation that question the enticing issues of a political talk can be what interests a concept of control. Similarly 'Semantic manipulation can be the cognizant utilization of discourse via a naughty manner for seizing the others' As posted by the scholar Fairclough (1998).

But an actual portrayal of 'cognizant' gives a meaning of the idea tricky not just concerning its hypothetical suppositions (cf. the trouble in distinguishing a cognizant volition as particular from an oblivious one), yet in addition regarding observational confirmation (inconceivability of determining in the inspiration of the speaker past language). Besides, the trait of 'mischievous' raises doubt about a regularizing model, for example that the chance is given of an image of non-manipulative talk, the place which can be moreover without any problem criticizable. Past the intricacy of the issue from a hypothetical perspective notwithstanding, control stays a profoundly easily proven wrong yet pivotal matter within an examination which addresses these possibilities and issues influence that might get in political talk. As per the scholar Van Dijk (2006a: 360), control is stated as 'an open and interactional practice, wherein a controller works out authority over others, normally without wanting to or against their wellbeing'.

Accordingly, it 'includes power, yet particularly maltreatment of force' This part of 'force misuse' directs the differentiation Van Dijk builds up between the ideas of 'control' and 'influence':

[. . .] control could be a type of (authentic) influence [...]. The urgent contrast for this situation is that in influence the questioners are allowed to accept or go about however they see fit, on whether they acknowledge the contentions of the persuader, though in control beneficiaries are ordinarily doled out a more uninvolved job: they are survivors of control. This negative outcome of manipulative talk commonly happens when the beneficiaries can't comprehend the genuine goals or to see the full outcomes of the convictions or activities upheld by the controller. This might be the case particularly when the beneficiaries come up short on the particular information that may be utilized to oppose control [. . .]. (Van Dijk, 2006a: 361).

In this respect, the focus of the present study will be metaphor as a cognitive instrument for defining and analyzing discourse macro-categories in political speeches based on Lakoff's attitudes as she presents metaphor as an essential analytical instrument with that to deal with persuasion as both a textual and a macro-textual merit.

### Methodology

In this part, the insightful methodology of study incorporates a definite analogy grounded miniature printed examination which is eventually pointed toward distinguishing a miniature literary argumentational patterns versus the design of a convincing technique). Miniature and full scale are classifications the investigation utilizes regarding the literary examination viewpoint, be it nitty gritty or manufactured. Moreover, the miniature content winds up harmonizing with the single printed extricate investigated on an allegorical premise, the large scale text with Bush's talk considered all in all as per the given time and type standards. Additionally, notwithstanding the fluffiness of the qualification between the mentalities of argumentation and influence, the examination will in general use the first with a connect to a miniature degree of investigation (pugnacious element in co-text), and the second regarding a more extensive large scale printed viewpoint .

In psychological hypothesis it is for the most part recognized that analogy directs the outflow of a particular sort of emotive state as a method of conceptualizing it. Enthusiastic ideas will in general be communicated figuratively as actual experience (Lakoff, 1987: 14, 38). Here, the allegory picked to communicate a given feeling is a long way from being without outcomes according to the perspective of influence.

### Analysis and Discussion

#### Text(1):

I will not forget this wound to our country or those who inflicted it. I will not yield, I will not rest, .....etc.

After 11 September events, G. Bush tending to his country alludes to it regarding a 'wound' that being exacted on 'our country'. Steen (1997) states that the utterance 'wound' being detached like a 'figurative metaphor' for the primary phrase. A common analogy, here, is 'STATE AS PERSON' that makes Hedge speak about the country in general like far personally, which as such can be liable to activity/s and respond to those activity/s with sentiments. Be that as it may, at a lower stage of arrangement in 'case like individual framework', there would be another figurative measure moved and reacts to

physicalization of cases, occasions, emotions also, feelings. At the end of the day, a body politic similitude is likewise working, which can be alluded to here as 'loss is actual agony'.

Embodiment and physicalization as two essential figurative cycles direct together absurd force of the novel figurative articulation we may artificially allude to as wound allegory, reacting to the metaphorical measures clarified previously. The word 'twisted' in itself, recognized as the center, doesn't match with the reasonable analogy, yet is the allegorical articulation involved by it.

Even much especially, epitome of action of 11 September attacks not simply passes on a power of individuals being referred to, yet furthermore accordingly gives highlight on expert of the 'injury' and moves a mission to the enemy to be perceived (like trained professional).

However, there are two hypothetical implications of that allegorical enunciations concerning the divisive movement of talk: the first: the actions incorporating 11 September had been executed purposely for hurting Americans via a foe that is perceived; and the second, which 11 September actions were merely the start of a greater unfriendly against America.

The twofold conflict, here, can be highlighted impelling 'shock' as an ordinary reaction in the group. Requesting the intentionally unfriendly point of a now dull foe to expect obligation for the new attacks can't believe it or not yet strengthen counter.

Likewise, the constitutive terms of what we describe fear technique are two opposing spaces related with two confining sentiments: an 'outside' (locus of 'fear') tended to as peril and threat, as a foe moving nearer, and an 'inside' (locus of 'conviction') tended to as a space of quietness and an ideal of perfection. Nonetheless, as violence films show us, the maximal emotive reaction is obtained with the conceivable break of this equilibrium of conflicting spaces, or then again, in Charteris-Black's terms, 'the potential for passage of the holder' (2006: 576), ordinarily offered by the sudden appearance of the monster in the space of tranquility (for instance of fear out of the blue entering the locus of assurance).

As demonstrated via an accompanying model, the development of an outside space of danger can be urgent for inciting dread. Through a macrotextual point of view, the study implies kicking the dread system off and works as a contention for preventive conflict, i.e., see the following instance:

**Text(2):**

Thousands of dangerous killers, schooled in the methods of murder, ..... etc.

Where the above discourse is conveyed, the assaults have stopped just about 4 months. Taking a gander at an ordered advancement of official addresses in our corpus we can interpret that the administrative talk method is that of embeddings the events inside an overall antagonistic versus a 'developed world' via a dread based oppressor led by Al Qaeda (setting). An accentuation through that danger likewise, the depiction being basic since it can be utilized like a help for military events, for instance like a strong war conflict (the Afghanistan antagonistic had adequately happened and an Iraq action has been acted). In the period of an enormous scope text it merits checking the model, from that we had separated a resemblance 'killers [ ..... ] move [ ..... ] such as ticking postponed bombs, to go off unexpectedly', since the highlighted sections show the base zones of character. Mental fighting can be described like hazardous belong to the amount of its individuals ('countless killers'), their point ('instructed in the procedures for manslaughter') and their techniques ('regularly maintained by boycott frameworks'), yet furthermore considering the way that, such as the contamination, this 'spreads', as bombs, its antagonistic could be unexpectedly. Even more unequivocally, the middle 'spread' proposes the

purposeful anecdote dread is an ailment. In such manner, the parallelism justifies referring to Cold War talk, where '[w]orldsocialism looks as the destructivesponger ', and it takes after the virus . It wouldmove'. Concerning the similarity, It would be amazing to perceive what the nearness of two conflicted with credits - 'ticking time' and 'set to go off out of nowhere' - acts through a stunning considered something we could all hear, that could make the assumption for an impact, and a thing calm, concealed, as it goes off out of nowhere.

The analogy, that we can insinuate like a bomb examination , adds to a portrayal of superficialrisk, giving mental mistreatment arise like an expanding, wild peril. This sensible implications endeavors to call forward fear and anxiety in the speaker, misusing the staggering past events and using a by and large disastrous group.

Nonetheless, the space would never give off an impression of being so surprising as it is not interestingly with the inside space of completeness and quietness that has been gotten. Confirmation of this is given in the cominginstance:

**Text (3):**

Add voueves and ears to the protection of our homeland..... etc.

The enumerating of highlighted figurelooks to gather the questionable line between the one and the other. this demands that a group tune in to the deferred fires set to go off out of nowhere. Thusly, itlets Americans in the place as they were to look on and on for that outside inside the inside situation, the place where audits passing and ruin. Other than develop a chance of certainty,this runs off anxieties whichthedefense would be continually. A sensation of sureness reaches from 'our country', that surveys a gleam, solace and encouragement persuaded via a standard romanticized scholarly approach we call forward.

With everything taken into account, a figurative method, in this respect, requests a requirement of strong of lection by requiring occupants' speculation, rather than moving sureness via a chance of affirmation. Like middlefocus, that can be crushing ceaselessly first can be a segment for the whole metonymy, that deals with human resources overseeing over watchfulness: 'eyes' and 'ears'. Furthermore, a legitimate plan of the sentence, 'security' can beselected similar to the 'holder' with decided outcomes. Just as joking with fear and assurance , via straightly keeping an eye on the crowd with a sales for assistance, this asking calls for pride and honor as security emotive estimations. Addressing defense as a fascinating substance, to what we may 'add' our organization, can befarmore amazing than other non-literal recognize of something fundamentally the like as thought.

**Text (4):**

I ask for your patience, with the delays and inconveniences that may accompany tighter security ..... etc.

A primary metaphorical enunciation recognized in correspondence with the main community - 'all the more close security' - answers to the large discussed physicalization measure, that acknowledges a especial kind of which you may call a belt representation : here, security can be suggested like a thing that would be fixed. The main looking at cognitive pictures can be a belt, all the more explicitly a security or seat lash, that works during a chance of affirmation. This jobs in English due to polysemy of the items 'secure' and 'security', and their 'mental structure'. 'A couple of scholarly theories can be metaphorically packed aboutsecurity', in generallinking to 'two earnest picture schémas, arranged via genuinepractices ,which can be a reference space to symbolic mappings to [this] mental model': the

'Compartment' and the 'Association' picture design. Even more unequivocally, the LINK design prompts suggestions in regards to protect related to 'fixing' (getting things that are free), obvious in expressions like 'secure the holder on the Vehicle'. Along these lines:

[. . .] This LINK pattern makes, via allegorical planning, the mental idea of assurance [. . .] The idea of safety in global relations discourse [is firmly connected to the thought of] dependability.

Prospected assurance and dependability can't yet propose certainty, requiring an specialist answerable for them, hence for the assurance of the country. As such, to move certainty is fairly the verifiable guarantee of this 'more tight security' to be acted. This certain guarantee isto legitimize 'tolerance', the deferrals and the burdens which the President G. Bush is requesting.

Secondly, allegorical articulation reacts to the generally talked about battle analogy (strife is a battle). The descriptive word 'long' shows the presence of the occasion structure representation ( the battle is a way), which delivers the struggle enormous in quality and additionally size yet in addition in span, for example not simply troublesome to hold, as a battle, yet in addition long to be satisfied. A length of battle can certainly call for long haul tolerance. The opponents suggested via the battle approach can, in a roundabout way, convey reference to that intimidation which has been macrotextually gathered external the nation, requiring a condition of uneasiness, dread. All in all, the two solitary articulations individually add to the emotional of certainty and dread. This model is illustrative of the system of dread at work in a particular concentrate of talk with a concurrent relating to the two friendly nations - certainty rather than dread - in relationship to the interior versus outer spatial construction.

### **Conclusions:**

The dispute we have conveyed has undeniably shown how speech may be thoughtfully arranged and how the impact framework used may endeavor to help authoritative choices. All the more explicitly, the philosophical premise can be construed, would be that of the contention outline, by its ordinary type of a polar resistance of different axes - ordinarily them versus us - that expects the Manichean type of an extreme resistance among Good and Evil. As per our speculation, the influence technique can be dread, yet since the final models had appeared, the two pertinent emotive cases are related. The two creative spaces are only different sides of a similar philosophical space, that can be the space of dread, or on another hand the space suggested by a contention outline and needed by a system of dread.

Taking a gander at our speculation the alternate path round, for example beginning from the influence methodology and moving it back to the philosophical premise, there are various associations between the system of dread and the contention outline. The two of them are portrayed by a bipolar construction. Specifically, the twofold resistance certainty versus dread and inside versus outside ('system of dread'), can be reacted in the comparable two poles design illuminating a contention outline requirements, for example great versus evil, us versus them. Subsequently, we may see that the technique of dread is established in the contention outline as a philosophical premise illuminating George W. Shrub's influence system due to 11 September occasions relating to the Iraq War.

From a macrotextual perspective It might be addressed in three ensuing advances: (a) developing and going via a contention outline, (b) radicalizing a contention into a Manichean clash, and (c) enjoying a technique of dread. That empowers the continuum between the above three stages can be the two poles like the typical base that illuminates together the procedure regarding dread like an influence system and a contention outline as a philosophical premise of the current talk.

Broadening then our viewpoint past the particular case, the investigation may demonstrate that theoretical representation is a promising logical device for tending to influence as a macrotextual system just as a printed highlight. Plus, the



essential job of feeling arises for the lawmaker to develop just as for us to identify influence systems in talk.

This study can also conclude that at any rate empowering the conduction of the assessment of politic talk through likeness can be savvy route among different capacities of language that lets to speak a fundamental talk assessment. Moreover, an examination of language beginning here of any view would be more than an insightful practice, i.e., today, more than ever, it would be a capacity to endure.

## References

- Austin, J.L. (1975) *How to Do Things With Words*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bonazzi, T. (2003) 'Nazione universale. Il valore "assoluto" della democrazia Il Regno Suppl. 6: 17-22.
- Buzan, B., Waever, O. and De Wilde, J. (1998) *Security. A New Framework* London: Routledge.
- Cardini, F. (2002) *La paura e l'arroganza*. Florence: Laterza.
- Charteris-Black, J. (2006) 'Britain as a Container: Immigration Metaphors in the 2005 Election Campaign', *Discourse & Society* 17: 563-81.
- Chilton, P.A. (1996) *Security Metaphors. Cold War Discourse from Containment to Common House*. New York: Lang.
- Chilton, P.A. (2004) *Analysing Political Discourse*. London: Routledge.
- Chilton, P.A. and Lakoff, G. (1995) 'Foreign Policy by Metaphor', in C. Schäffner and A.L. Wenden (eds) *Language and Peace*, pp. 37-60. Aldershot: Dartmouth.
- Chilton, P.A. and Shnaffner, C. (2002) 'Themes and Principles in the Analysis of Political Discourse', in P.A. Chilton and C. Shnaffner (eds) *Politics as Text and Talk*, pp. 1-41.
- De Saussure, L. and Schulz, P. (eds) (2006) *Manipulation and Ideologies in the Twentieth Century*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Edwards, J. (2004) 'After the Fall', *Discourse & Society* 15: 155-84.
- Fairclough, N. (1989) *Language and Power*. London: Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. (1998) 'Manipulation', in J.L. Mey (ed.) *Concise Encyclopedia of Pragmatics*.
- Fairclough, N. and Wodak, R. (1997) 'Critical Discourse Analysis', in T.A. van Dijk (ed.) *Discourse as Social Interaction*, pp. 258-84. London: SAGE.
- Fallaci, O. (2001, 18 September) 'La rabbia e l'orgoglio', *Corriere della Sera*.
- Gibbs, R.W. Jr and Steen, G.J. (eds) (1997) *Metaphor in Cognitive Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Goatly, A. (2007) *Washing the Brain. Metaphor and Hidden Ideology*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Huntington, S. (1993) 'The Clash of Civilisation', *Foreign Affairs* 72: 22-49.
- Introvigne, M. (2004) *Fondamentalismi. I diversi volti dell'intransigenza religiosa*. Casale Monferrato: Piemme.
- Johnson, M. and Lakoff, G. (2003 [1980]) *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kovecses, Z. (2001) *Metaphor: A Practical Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lakoff, G. (1987) *Women, Fire and Dangerous Things*. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Lakoff, G. (1991) 'Metaphor and War: The Metaphor System Used to Justify War in the
- Lazar, A. and Lazar, M.M. (2004) 'The Discourse of the New World Order: "Out-Casting" the Double Face of Threat', *Discourse & Society* 15(2-3): 223-42.
- Lindenberg, D. (2002) *Le rappel à l'ordre. Enquête sur les nouveaux réactionnaires*. Paris: Seuil. University Press.
- Plantin, C. (1998) 'Les raisons des émotions', in M. Bondi (ed.) *Forms of Argumentative Discourse*. Bologna: Heuresis.

- Reddy, M.J. (1979) 'The Conduit Metaphor - A Case of Frame Conflict in Our Languageabout Language', in A. Ortony (ed.) *Metaphor and Thought* , pp. 284-324. Cambridge:Cambridge University Press.
- Semino, E. and Masci, M. (1996) 'Politics is Football: Metaphor in the Discourse of SilvioBerlusconi in Italy', *Discourse & Society* 7(2): 243-69.
- Smith, G.S. (2003) 'Containment, Disease and Cold War Culture', in M.A. Hennessy and B.J.C. McKercher (eds) *War in the Twentieth Century. Reflections at Century's End*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Repubblica 38-9. Steen, G. (1997) 'From Linguistic to Conceptual Metaphor in Five Steps', in R.W. Gibbs Jr and G.J. Steen (eds) *Metaphor in Cognitive Linguistics* , pp. 57-77. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Tarchi, M. (2002) 'Pardoni del mondo e ditatori del pensiero', in F. Cardini (ed.) *La paura el'arroganza* , pp. 9-35. Florence: Laterza.
- Van Dijk, T.A. (1993) 'Principles of Critical Discourse Analysis', *Discourse & Society* 4(2): 249-83.
- Van Dijk, T.A. (2006a) 'Discourse and Manipulation', *Discourse & Society* 17(3)
- Žižek, S. (2002) 'What if Nothing at All has Changed?', *Times Higher Education* Su 6 September: 13-14.
- Zucconi, V. (2004) *George*. Milan: Feltrinelli.